

## **CISC 326 Project (A2) Presentation: Marking Scheme**

**Team Name:**

**Team Members:**

---

### **Quality of Presentation**

**/20**

- Well-rehearsed presentation
- Clear overview of the presentation
- Group participation
- Presentation of the derivation process (Discussion of considered alternatives)
- Discussions of the limitations of reported findings
- Learned lessons are discussed with rationale
- Closing: main points reiterated, positive attitude

---

### **Quality of Software Architecture**

**/40**

- Clear presentation of the top level conceptual architecture (no implementation details)
  - o Point out and explain the architectural style (or design), if any
  - o Describe the functionality of the top level subsystems
  - o Present the rationale for the interactions between these subsystems
- Use cases, sequence diagrams, and state diagrams are used to better describe the flow of data and control in the architecture
- The effects of concurrency and team issues on the architecture are presented
- Reflexion analysis is performed and rationale for differences is presented
- Architecture breakdown is clear, easy to understand and accurate
- Good use of diagrams (clear and with legends) and tables

---

### **Quality of Responses to the Questions**

**/10**

- Provided slide printout (4 copies)
- Polite and professional
- Knowledgeable answers to questions
- Participated in discussions for other presentations
- Included one slide to explain the proposed feature for A3 based on discussions with TA

---

### **TOTAL (7% of final grade)**

**/70**

---