## CISC 235: Topic 1

Complexity of Iterative Algorithms

## Outline

- Complexity Basics
- Big-Oh Notation
- Big- $\Omega$ and Big- $\theta$ Notation
- Summations
- Limitations of Big-Oh Analysis


## Complexity

Complexity is the study of how the time and space to execute an algorithm vary with problem size.
The purpose is to compare alternative algorithms to solve a problem to determine which is "best".

Time Complexity:
Let $\mathrm{T}(n)$ denote the time to execute an algorithm with input size $n$

How can we measure $\mathrm{T}(n)$ ?

## Experimental Study

Implement the alternative algorithms and then time them with various benchmarks, measuring running time with a method like Java's System.currentTimeMillis( )


## Mathematical Analysis

## Analyze alternative algorithms mathematically

 prior to coding- Define the amount of time taken by an algorithm to be a function of the size of the input data:

$$
\mathrm{T}(n)=?
$$

- Count the key instructions that are executed to obtain the value of the function in terms of the size of the input data
- Compare algorithms by comparing how fast their running time functions grow as the input size increases


## Finding an Algorithm's Running Time Function

Count the key instructions that are executed to obtain the running time in terms of the size of the input data.

Important Decisions:

- What is the measure of the size of input?
- Which are the key instructions?


## Example: Find smallest value in array $A$ of length n

int small $=0$;
for ( int $\mathrm{j}=0 ; \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{j}++$ )
if ( $A[j]<A[s m a l l])$
small $=\mathrm{j}$;

Counting Assignments:
Line 1: 1
Line 2: $\mathrm{n}+1$
Line 3: 0
Line 4: best case: 0 worst case: n

$$
\text { So, } T(n)=2 n+2
$$

## Example: Find smallest value in array $A$ of length n

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { int small }=0 ; \\
& \text { for }(\text { int } j=0 ; j<n ; j++) \\
& \text { if }(A[j]<A[\text { small }] \\
& \quad \text { small }=j ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute constants
$a \& b$ to reduce analysis time:
Line 1: b (constant time for everything outside loop)
Line 2: n (variable number of times through loop)
Lines 2, 3, 4: a (constant time for everything inside loop,
including loop test \& increment)

$$
\text { So, } T(n)=a n+b
$$

## For large input sizes, constant terms are insignificant

Program $A$ with running time $T_{A}(n)=100 n$
Program $B$ with running time $T_{B}(n)=2 n^{2}$
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## Big-Oh Notation

Purpose: Establish a relative ordering among functions by comparing their relative rates of growth

Example: $f(x)=4 x+3$
Big-Oh Notation: $f(x) \in \mathrm{O}(x)$

$$
\text { or } f(x) \text { is } \mathrm{O}(x)
$$

## Definition of Big-Oh Notation

$f(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}(g(x))$ if two constants $C$ and $k$ can be found such that:
for all $x>k, f(x) \leq C g(x)$

Note: Big-Oh is an upper bound

## Meaning of Big-Oh Notation

- The function $f(x)$ is one of the set of functions that has an order of magnitude growth rate $\leq$ the growth rate of $g(x)$


## OR

- $f(x)$ is at most a constant times $g(x)$, except possibly for some small values of $x$


## Graph of Big-Oh for a Program




If $x>1$, then $x^{2}+2 x+1<x^{2}+2 x^{2}+x^{2}=4 x^{2}$
If $x>2$, then $x^{2}+2 x+1<x^{2}+x^{2}+x^{2}=3 x^{2}$

## Rules for Big-Oh

- We want to find the closest upper bound
- if $f(x)=100 x$, we choose $f(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}(x)$, not $f(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(x^{2}\right)$
- Never include constants or lower-order terms within a Big-Oh (so also don't include the base of a logarithm)
- if $f(x)=2 x^{2}+x$
we choose $f(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(x^{2}\right)$,
not $f(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(2 x^{2}\right)$ and $n o t f(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(x^{2}+x\right)$


## Order of Magnitude Growth Rates

| Function | Descriptor | Big-Oh |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C$ | Constant | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| $\log n$ | Logarithmic | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ |
| $n$ | Linear | $\mathrm{O}(n)$ |
| $n \log n$ | $\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n}$ | $\mathrm{O}(n \log n)$ |
| $n^{2}$ | Quadratic | $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ |
| $n^{3}$ | Cubic | $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ |
| $n^{k}$ | Polynomial | $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{k}\right)$ |
| $2^{n}$ | Exponential | $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$ |
| $n!$ | Factorial | $\mathrm{O}(n!)$ |
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## Change to Running Times When Input Size $n$ is Doubled?

| Function | $n=100$ | $n=200$ | Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C$ | $C$ | $C+\ldots$ |  |
| $\log n$ | $\sim 5$ | $\sim 5+$ |  |
| $n$ | 100 | $100^{*}$ |  |
| $n^{2}$ | $100^{2}$ | $100^{2}{ }^{*}$ |  |
| $n^{3}$ | $100^{3}$ | $100^{*} *$ |  |
| $2^{n}$ | $\sim 100^{15}$ | $\sim 100^{15} *$ |  |

## Growth of Combinations of Functions

If $f_{1}(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(g_{1}(x)\right)$ and $f_{2}(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(g_{2}(x)\right)$,
Then

$$
\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right)(x) \text { is } \mathrm{O}\left(\max \left(g_{1}(x), g_{2}(x)\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)(x) \text { is } \mathrm{O}\left(g_{1}(x) g_{2}(x)\right)
$$

## Deriving Big-Oh of Functions

| $f(x)$ | Big-Oh |
| :--- | :--- |
| $3 x^{2}+5$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(x^{2}\right)$ |
| $2 x^{3}-x^{2}-6$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(x^{3}\right)$ |
| $\log _{2} x+x$ | $\mathrm{O}(x)$ |
| $\left(5+\log _{2} x\right)(3 x-3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(x \log x)$ |
| $4\left(2^{x}-x^{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{x}\right)$ |
| $4 c-6 d+17 a$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |

## Big-Omega (Big- $\Omega$ ) Notation

Expresses a lower-bound of a function
$f(x)$ is $\Omega(g(x))$ if $f(x)$ is at least a constant times $g(x)$, except possibly for some small values of $x$.

Formally:
$f(x)$ is $\Omega(g(x))$ if two constants $C$ and $k$ can be found such that for all $x>k, f(x) \geq C g(x)$

## Graph of Big- $\Omega$ for a Program



## Big-Theta $($ Big $-\Theta$ ) Notation

Expresses a tight bound of a function $f(x)$ is $\Theta(g(x))$ if $f(x)$ is both $\mathrm{O}(g(x))$ and $\Omega(g(x))$


## Example

Input: An $n+1$ element array $A$ of coefficients and $x$, a number

Output: pVal , the value of the polynomial $\mathrm{A}[0]+\mathrm{A}[1] x+\mathrm{A}[2] x^{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{A}[n] x^{n}$

## Algorithm 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pVal}=\mathrm{A}[0] \\
& \text { for (int } \mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{i}++ \text { ) } \\
& \qquad \mathrm{pVal}=\mathrm{pVal}+\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}] \text { * Math.pow }(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{i}) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

What is the Big-Oh analysis of this algorithm?

## Algorithm 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pVal = A[0] } \\
& \text { for ( int } i=1 ; i<=n ; i++) \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { pow } X=1 \\
\text { for }(\text { int } j=0 ; j<i ; j++) \\
\quad \text { pow } X=x^{*} \text { pow } X \\
\text { pVal }=p V a l+A[i] \text { * pow } X
\end{array}\right. \\
& \} \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

What is the Big-Oh analysis of this algorithm? ${ }_{26}$

## Algorithm 3

Horner's method:
To evaluate $a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\ldots+a_{n} x^{n}$, use:

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{a}_{0}+\left(\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}+\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{a}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{x} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \ldots\right)\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \vee a l=x^{*} A[n] \\
& \text { for (int } i=n-1 ; i>0 ; i--) \\
& \quad p \vee a l=x *(A[i]+p V a l) \\
& p \vee a l=p \vee a l+A[0]
\end{aligned}
$$

What is the Big-Oh analysis of this algorithm?

## Example: Selection Sort

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for (int } \mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}-1 ; \mathrm{i}++ \text { ) } \\
& \text { \{ } \\
& \text { int small = i; } \\
& \text { for ( int } \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1 ; \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{j}++ \text { ) } \\
& \text { if ( } A[j]<A[s m a l l]) \\
& \text { small = j; } \\
& \text { int temp = A[small]; } \\
& \text { A[small] = A[i]; } \\
& A[i]=\text { temp; } \\
& \text { \} }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summation Notation

$$
\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_{i}
$$

# Represents $a_{m}+a_{m+1}+\ldots+a_{n}$ 

TABLE 2 Some Useful Summation
Formulae.

| Sum | Closed Form |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n} a r^{k}(r \neq 0) \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{3} \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{k},\|x\|<1 \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}, k x^{k-1},\|x\|<1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{a r^{n+1}-a}{r-1}, r \neq 1 \\ & \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \\ & \frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6} \\ & \frac{n^{2}(n+1)^{2}}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{1-x} \\ & \frac{1}{(1-x)^{2}} \end{aligned}$ |

## Summation Manipulations

1. Take out constant terms:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k / 2=1 / 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} k
$$

2. Decompose large terms:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} n-k+k^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} n-\sum_{k=1}^{n} k+\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}
$$

## Summation Manipulations

3. Partial Sums:

$$
\sum_{k=j+1}^{n} k=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k-\sum_{k=1}^{j} k
$$

4. Practice:

## n

$$
\sum_{j=i} k j+a=
$$

## Number of Terms in a Summation

Upper Bound - Lower Bound + 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2}^{n} 1=1+1+\ldots+1=n-2+1=n-1 \\
& \begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=j+1}^{n-1} 1=1+1+\ldots+1 & =(n-1)-(j+1)+1 \\
& =n-j-1
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: This is equivalent to calculating the number of iterations in a for loop

## Calculating Arithmetic Summations

((First Term + Last Term) * Number of Terms) / 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n} k=1+2+\ldots+n=(1+n) * n / 2=\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} n-i-1 & =(n-1)+(n-2)+\ldots+1 \\
& =((n-1)+1) *(n-1)) / 2 \\
& =\frac{n(n-1)}{2}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Max Subsequence Sum

```
int maxSum \(=0\);
int besti \(=0\); int bestj \(=0\);
for ( int \(\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{i}++\) )
    for ( int \(\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{j}++\) )
    \{ int thisSum =0;
        for (int \(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{k}<=\mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{k}++\) )
        thisSum = thisSum \(+A[k]\);
        if ( thisSum > maxSum )
        \{ maxSum = thisSum;
        besti \(=\mathrm{i}\); bestj \(=\mathrm{j}\);
        \}
        \}

\section*{Analyzing Complexity of Lists}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \hline Operation & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Sorted \\
Array
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Sorted \\
Linked \\
List
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Unsorted \\
Array
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Unsorted \\
Linked \\
List
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Search( L, x) & & & & \\
\hline Insert( L, x ) & & & & \\
\hline Delete( L, x) & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{ CISC 235 Topic 1 }
\end{tabular}

\section*{Limitations of Big-Oh Analysis}
- Not appropriate for small amounts of input
- Use the simplest algorithm
- Large constants can affect which algorithm is more efficient
- e.g., 2nlogn versus 1000n
- Assumption that all basic operations take 1 time unit is faulty
- e.g., memory access versus disk access
(1000s of time more )
- Big-Oh can give serious over-estimate
- e.g., loop inside an if statement that seldom executes```

