## Analyzing Complexity of Lists

| Operation | Sorted Array | Sorted Linked List | Unsorted Array | Unsorted Linked List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Search( L, x ) | O(logn) | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ |
| Insert( L, x ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}(\log n) \\ & +\mathrm{O}(n) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})+ \\ & \mathrm{O}(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| Delete( L, x ) | O(logn) $+O(n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})+ \\ & \mathrm{O}(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})+ \\ & \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & O(n)+ \\ & O(1) \end{aligned}$ |
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## Design and Complexity Analysis of Recursive Algorithms

## Outline

- Design of Recursive Algorithms
- Recursive algorithms for Lists
- Analysis of Recursive Algorithms
- Modeling with recurrence relations
- Solving recurrence relations


## Thinking Recursively

1. What is the measure of the size of input?
2. What is the base case?
3. What is the recursive case?
4. In what ways could the input be reduced in size (and how easy is it to do so)?
5. If we assume that we have the solution to the same problem for a smaller size input, how can we solve the whole problem?

## Example: Find Largest in List

1. Measure of input size: length of list
2. Base Case: list of length 1
3. Recursive Case: length $>1$
4. Ways to reduce in size
a. All except first item in list
b. All except last item in list
c. Divide list into two halves
5. Assume we have solution to smaller size list(s).
a. Take max of first item and max of rest of list
b. Take max of last item and max of rest of list
c. Take max of the max of the two halves

## Example: Find Largest in Array

// Assumes list is not empty static int largest ( int[ ] A, int first, int last )
\{ int $\mathrm{n}=$ last - first +1 ;
if $(\mathrm{n}==1$ )
return ( A[first] );
else
return ( Math.max( A[first], largest( A, first + 1, last ) ) );
\}

## Version of largest method that divides list in two halves

```
static int largest ( int[ ] A, int first, int last )
\{
    int \(\mathrm{n}=\) last - first +1 ;
    if ( \(\mathrm{n}==1\) )
    return (A[first] );
    else
    \{ int mid = ( first + last ) / 2;
    return ( Math.max(largest( A, first, mid ), largest( A, mid + 1, last ) ) );
    \}
\}
```

Is there any advantage to dividing the list this way?`

## Design Rules for Recursive Functions

1. Make sure there's a base case
2. Make progress towards the base case

Reduce size of input on each recursive call
3. Assume the recursive calls are correct

Write the method so that it's correct if the recursive calls are correct
4. Compound Interest Rule

Don't duplicate work by solving the same problem instance in different calls

## Incorrect Recursive Functions Which design rules do these violate?

static int factorial (int n )
\{ if ( $\mathrm{n}==0$ ) return (1);
else
return ( factorial( n ) * n-1 );
\}
static int factorial (int n )
\{
return ( n * factorial( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) );

## Inefficient Recursive Functions Which design rule does this violate?

static int fibonacci (int $n$ )
\{ if ( $n<=1$ )
return (n);
else
return ( fibonacci ( $n-1$ )

+ fibonacci ( $\mathrm{n}-2$ ) );
\}


## Divide and Conquer Algorithms

- Divide the problem into a number of subproblems of size $\sim \mathrm{n} / 2$ or $\mathrm{n} / 3$ or ...
- Conquer the subproblems by solving them recursively. If the subproblem sizes are small enough, however, just solve the subproblems in a straightforward manner.
- Combine the solutions to the subproblems into the solution for the original problem.


## Example: Merge Sort

- Divide: Divide the $n$-element sequence to be sorted into two subsequences of $n / 2$ elements each.
- Conquer: Sort the two subsequences recursively using merge sort.
- Combine: Merge the two sorted subsequences to produce the sorted answer.


## Merge Sort Algorithm

// if $p \geq r$, subarray $A[p . . r$ ] has at most one element,
// so is already in sorted order
MERGE-SORT ( $A, p, r$ )
if $p<r$
then $q \leftarrow\lfloor(p+r) / 2\rfloor$
MERGE-SORT( $A, p, q)$
MERGE-SORT ( $A, q+1, r$ ) MERGE( $A, p, q, r)$

## Merge Algorithm

// preconditions: $\mathrm{p} \leq \mathrm{q}<\mathrm{r}$
//
$A[p . . q]$ and $A[q+1 . . r]$ are in sorted order
$\operatorname{MERGE}(A, p, q, r)$
$n_{1} \leftarrow q-p+1$
$n_{2} \leftarrow r-q$
create arrays $L\left[1 . . n_{1}+1\right]$ and $R\left[1 . . n_{2}+1\right]$
for $\mathrm{i} \leftarrow 1$ to $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ do $L[i] \leftarrow A[p+i-1]$
for $\mathrm{j} \leftarrow 1$ to $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ do $R[j] \leftarrow A[q+j]$
$\mathrm{L}\left[\mathrm{n}_{1}+1\right] \leftarrow \infty$
$R\left[n_{2}+1\right] \leqslant \infty$

## Merge Algorithm, con.

// Merge arrays $L$ and $R$ and place back in array $A$
$i \leftarrow 1$
$j \leftarrow 1$
for $k \leftarrow p$ to $r$ do if $L[i] \leq R[j]$
then $A[k] \leftarrow L[i]$
$i \leftarrow i+1$
else $A[k]=R[j]$
$j \leftarrow j+1$

## Recursive Algorithms for Linked Lists

1. Measure of input size: length of list
2. Base Case: list of length 0 or 1
3. Recursive Case: length $>1$
4. Ways to reduce in size on each recursive call?

## Start of a Linked List Class

public class List
private class Node \{
private Node next;
private int data;
Node( int data ) \{
this.data = data;
this.next = null; \} \} // end Node class
private Node head;
public List() \{
head = null; \} ... \} // end List class

## Add Methods to Class

## public void append( int x )

public void insert( int x )

## Functions: Complexity Analysis

static int bar (int $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{int} \mathrm{n}$ )
\{ for (int $i=1 ; i<=n ; i++$ )

$$
x+=i ;
$$

return $x$;
\} // end bar
static int foo (int x , int n )
static int m (int y )
\{
int $\mathrm{a}=0$;
System.out.print(foo( a, y )); System.out.print(bar( a, y ));
\} // end m
\{ for (int $\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{i}++$ )

$$
x=x+\operatorname{bar}(i, n) ;
$$

return $x$;
\} // end foo

## What is the measure of the size of input of these methods?

// Calculates $x^{i}$
static double pow ( double x , int i )
// Counts the number of occurrences of each
// different character in a file (256 possible different chars) static int countChars (String inFile )
// Determines whether vertex v is adjacent to
// vertex w in graph g
static boolean isAdjacent( Graph g, Vertex v, Vertex w )

## Analysis: Recursive Methods

static int factorial (int n )
\{ if $(\mathrm{n}<=1)$
return (1);
else
return ( n * factorial( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) ); \}
Recurrence Relation:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T(n)=O(1), & \text { if } n=0 \text { or } 1 \\
T(n)=T(n-1)+O(1), & \text { if } n>1
\end{array}
$$

Or:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T(n)=c, & \text { if } n=0 \text { or } 1 \\
T(n)=T(n-1)+c, & \text { if } n>1 \\
\text { CISC 235 Topic } 2
\end{array}
$$

## Recurrence Relations

What if there was an $\mathrm{O}(n)$ loop in the base case of the factorial function? What would its recurrence relation be?

What if there was an $O(n)$ loop in the recursive case of the factorial function? What would its recurrence relation be?

## Recurrence Relations

What is the recurrence relation for the first version of the largest method?

What is the recurrence relation for the version of largest that divides the list into two halves?

What is the recurrence relation for the fibonacci method?

## Binary Search Function

// Search for x in A[low] through A[high] inclusive // Return index of $x$ if found; return -1 if not found int binarySearch( int[ ] A, int x, int low, int high )
\{ if( low > high ) return -1;
int mid = ( low + high ) / 2;
if( $\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{mid}]<\mathrm{x}$ )
return binarySearch( A, x, mid+1, high);
else if ( $x<A[m i d]$ ) return binarySearch( A, x, low, mid-1 );
else
return mid;

## Analysis: Binary Search

Measure of Size of Input:
Recurrence Relation:

## Analysis: Merge Sort

Measure of Size of Input:
Recurrence Relation:

## Solving Recurrences

## Substitution Method:

1. Guess Solution
2. Prove it's correct with proof by induction

How to guess solution? Several ways:

- Calculate first few values of recurrence
- Substitute recurrence into itself
- Construct a Recursion Tree for the recurrence


## Calculate First Few Values

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(0)=\mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{~T}(1)=\mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{~T}(2)=\mathrm{T}(1)+\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{~T}(3)=\mathrm{T}(2)+\mathrm{c}=3 \mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{~T}(4)=\mathrm{T}(3)+\mathrm{c}=4 \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Guess solution:
$\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{nc}$, for all $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$

## Substitute recurrence into itself

$T(n)=T(n-1)+C$
$T(n)=(T(n-2)+c)+c=T(n-2)+2 c$
$T(n)=(T(n-3)+c)+2 c=T(n-3)+3 c$
$T(n)=(T(n-4)+c)+3 c=T(n-4)+4 c$

Guess Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =T(n-(n-1))+(n-1) c \\
& =T(1)+(n-1) c \\
& =c+(n-1) c \\
& =n c \quad \quad \text { c|sc } 235 \text { Topic } 2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Prove Solution is Correct: $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{nc}$, for all $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$

Base Case: $\mathrm{n}=1$, formula gives $\mathrm{T}(1)=\mathrm{c}$ ?

$$
T(1)=1 c=c
$$

Inductive Assumption: $T(k)=k c$
Show Theorem is true for $T(k+1)$,

$$
\text { i.e., } T(k+1)=(k+1) c \text { : }
$$

By the recurrence relation, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(k+1) & =T(k)+c \\
& =k c+c \quad \text { by inductive assump. } \\
& =(k+1) c \\
& =1 \mid s C 235 \text { Topic } 2
\end{aligned}
$$

