Preliminary Analysis for Dataset #3 *Statistic and Syntactic*

Henry Xiao

xiao@cs.queensu.ca

School of Computing

Queen's University



Technique Preamble

Two mining techniques from two different aspects - *Bayes Methods* vs. *Rule Base Systems*.

- Bayes Method a *statistical* approach.
- Rule Base System a syntactical approach.
- Mathematical background and computational complexity.
- Structural description and applied model.
- Relations between the two techniques.



Rule Base System

We mainly look at two methods here - *DecisionTable* and *PRISM*.

- Both methods are based on rule discovery and application.
- *DecisionTable* is more or less DecisionTree like.
- *PRISM* is "if then" structured.
- *DecisionTable* uses the correlation to select an attribute set.
- *PRISM* uses the information gain to get conditions.
- In general, PRISM's rule building mechanism allows more rules.
- $I.e. \ a \wedge b \Longrightarrow 3 \text{ and } c \wedge d \Longrightarrow 3.$



Rule Example

Two segments of the rules from both methods are showed.

DecisionTable:

Rules:							
attr_1	attr_4	attr_7	attr_10	class			
'(0.4485-0.5535]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(6.4775-inf)'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(0.5535-2.768]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(6.4775-inf)'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(-0.0025-0.4485]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(6.4775-inf)'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(-inf0.464]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(6.4775-inf)'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(-0.4640.0025]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(6.4775-inf)'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(-inf0.464]'	'(4.7045-5.3715]'	'(6.4775-inf)'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(0.4485-0.5535]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(5.827-6.4775]'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(0.5535-2.768]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(5.827-6.4775]'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(-inf0.464]'	'(4.24-4.7045]'	'(6.4775-inf)'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(-0.4640.0025]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(5.827-6.4775]'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(-0.0025-0.4485]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(5.827-6.4775]'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(-inf0.464]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(5.827-6.4775]'	'(1.8255-inf)'	3			
'(0.5535-2.768]'	'(5.3715-inf)'	'(6.4775-inf)'	'(1.723-1.8255]'	2			





If attr 10 = 10.5915 - 1.135511

Dataset Preliminary

Dataset 3 preprocessed:

- Add a top row for attribute description.
- Name the last column as "class".
- Change the last column values to make a 2-class case.(i.e. value 8 to 1, and value 4 and 7 to 2.)
- Change the last column values to make a 3-class case.(i.e. value 8 to 1, value 7 to 2, and value 4 to 3.)



2-Class Visualization

The case is trivial. (Attribute 7, 8, 9, 10)





3-Class Visualization

Difficult to discriminate class 2 and 3.





Preliminary Result

The results of applying 3 methods with all attributes* are listed below.

	BayesNet(95.2497)		DecisionTable(98.9521)			PRISM(98.7426			
Class	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	330	0	0	330	0	0	330	0	0
2	0	2328	126	0	2438	16	0	2437	11
3	0	10	69	0	14	65	0	17	60



Result Cont's

Remarks on the preliminary results.

- **DecisionTable** uses an attribute set of $\{1, 4, 7, 10\}$.
- The information gain ranking is: 10,9,7,8,6,4,5,3,2,1
- *DecisionTable* uses the correlation to select an attribute set.
- 10 attributes listed in the Bayes network.
- *DecisionTable* and *PRISM* difference.



Discussion and Future Plan

Two techniques are interesting to be compared here.

- BayesNet outperformed by DecisionTable and PRISM with all attributes.
- Two rule base methods rely on attribute's statistical information.
- With attribute selection?
- Attribute 10!

Method	BayesNet	DecisionTable	PRISM
Correctness	98.7076	98.7076	97.2407

PRISM classifies all class "3" to "2"!





Questions regarding Analysis results?

Information Site: http://www.cs.queensu.ca/home/xiao/dm.html

E-mail: xiao@cs.queens.ca

Thank you

